



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/047,894 03/25/98 CAPOWSKI

A SIMB97-08

EXAMINER

021005 LM02/1029  
HAMILTON BROOK SMITH AND REYNOLDS  
TWO MILITIA DR.  
LEXINGTON MA 02421-4799

FILED

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2736.1

DATE MAILED:

10/29/99

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding:**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/047,894

Applicant(s)  
CAPOWSKI ET AL

Examiner  
DARYL C. POPE

Group Art Unit  
2736



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DARYL C. POPE (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) TIMOTHY MEAGHER (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 27, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:  
N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner informed applicant's representative that the amendment after final obviates the reference of record, and therefore if another reference is applied to reject the claims, a non-final rejection will be submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

DARYL C. POPE  
PATENT EXAMINER  
GROUP 2800  
2700

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.